Geethaniali College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Management StudiesFaculty Performance Appraisal for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name of the Faculty Member: Mr.K.NAUPAL REDDY

Designation: Sr. Asst. Professor

	a Mass a	I	Leave Availed	m endal	viluon nei	Permissions	Number of days -
CLs	HPLs	ELs	CCLs -	CCLs	Number	(Early	extended hours worked
-		-11	accumulated	- used	of days	Going/Late	with the approval of the
1000		of said the said	43 1.1.		on 'Loss	Coming)	concerned HoD/Group
				i j	of Pay'	availed	Head/CoE
		-	1				(Also mention total
			. 7312	Johan A I			number of extended
			e gualia				hours worked)
10	Nil	No	Nil	Nil	No Loss	8	Extended hours have
1		ELs	on stell h		of Pay	Follow!	been worked with the
		Used	. jagagetta a	tegri, ad 1	100,00-1	i syytigian	approval of the
		till	in a colony	15			respective HoD/Group
		now		Marta.			Head/CoE for
							approximately 60 to 70
				14: 74 1			days, totaling 90 hours.

PART A - TEACHING (Total Score: 100)

1. Theory/Lab Courses Taught(Assessment: ≥50<60%:12, ≥60< 70%:18, ≥70<80%:24, ≥80 <90%:30, ≥90: 40)

(Theory Courses taught during the last 2 semesters should be considered)

Semester	Name of the	Number	Course	Pass	Course	Assessment
(I/ II)	Course	of	success index	percentage	Attainment	score (1-40
•		Periods	(successful	/\/21 <i>P</i> ./5_	Level	scale)
eng adridgementes entillangen encolaritationistis systemasissis in a system as you a significant		Taken	students)	antigoring described a grant of the production of what had been been as the grant time (consistent		anne e transis de la friend de la destanda e transis de la companya de la companya de la companya de la company
MBA	SIFD	64	28/28	100	2.37	40
II-II						
MBA	LSCM	64.	53/61	86.89	2.20	30
I-H	in the second of	rd the case vi	ession Egen			
MBA	OM	64	40/42	93.02	2.68	40
II-I		1213 1				1 '
MBA	SAPM	70	29/30	96.67	2.68	40
II-I	and the second s		F. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.			
MBA	RMSA	68	59/61	96.72	2.60	40
I-I			7.95.67	7		
		Ave	erage Assessmen	it Score (Max	cimum of 40)	38

2. On an average how many assignments did you give per course (Assessment: 2 to 3: 2, More than 3:5):5M

(Average Assessment Score should be obtained by taking the average of the individual assessment scores obtained in each subject of a semester subject to a maximum of 5)

3. On an average how many 'tutorials/case studies' did you conduct per course (2 to 3tutorials : 2, More than 3 : 5) :

(Average Assessment Score should be obtained by taking the average of the individual assessment scores obtained in each subject of a semester subject to a maximum of 5)

4. Project guidance/supervision

MBA (Assessment:5 points per project and 5 additional points for best project awarded in any competition/in the form of publication)

(Average Assessment Score should be obtained by taking the average of the individual assessment scores obtained in each Project guided subject to a maximum of 10)

<u>Note</u>: May not be applicable for the faculty taking <u>only</u> first year courses. In such cases section 1 shall be evaluated for 50 points.

S1.	Roll	Names of	Mini/major	Title of the	In-house	Assessment
No.	numbers	the students	project	project	or external	Score
disconding the conding of the condin	21R11E 0001	ADLA TINKUSH AMBHAVI	Major project	Comparative Analysis on Credit Rating With Reference to Selected Private Sector And Public Sector Banks (CAMEL ANALYSIS).	External	05
2	21R11E 0011	DASARI NAVYA	Major project	A Study on Mergers and Acquisitions With Reference to Union Bank of India.	External	-05
3	21R11E 0018	JUPALLY THUKARA M	Major project	Risk and Return Analysis-A study with reference to selected IT and pharm companies in India.	External	05
4	21R11E 0026	KUNA SAI PAVAN	Major project	A Comparative Study of Hybrid Equity and Hybrid Debt Mutual Funds With Reference to HDFC.	External	05
5	21R11E 0038	PRIYANK A BANOTHU	Major	Risk and return analysis of selected companies in India- A study on risk management equities at angel broking limited.	External	05 L
			Average Asse	ssment Score (Maxi	mum of 10)	05

5. a. Association with Senior Faculty (Assessment: Concerned Professor will assess for a

maximum of 10 points) -

	Name of the	Name of Theory	Class with	Give Brief report on	Assessment
Sl.		•		attainment of	
No.	Professor	or Lab Course	semester		score
				learning outcome –	
		G + D) (0 GYPD	3.65.4	submit evidence	
1	Dr.J.Pardhasardhi	SAPM& SIFD	MBA	Provided highly	
	*		II-I	effective guidance	
		^		in Security Analysis	
				and Portfolio	α .
				Management,	8
				alongside Strategic	
1/2				Investment	
				strategies,	
	;	*		prioritizing rigorous	
	• • •			risk assessment and	
				optimal return	
		1,		generation.	
2	Dr.A.SitaMadhavi	OM, LSCM&	MBA	Comprehensive	
	` *	RMSA	II-I	guidance in	9
				Logistics and	1 .
		,		Supply Chain	
	*			Management,	
		· , , , , , ,		Operations	
	*	, s		Management, and	
				Research	
		7 ×		methodologies,	
				emphasizing	
				efficiency and	
				innovation.	
	;	Average A	ssessment Sco	ore (Maximum of 10)	8.5

b. Professors (Assessment: Guidance given by the Concerned Professor to other faculty, for a

maximum of 10 points) – NIL

Sl.	Name of the	Name of Theory	Class with	Give Brief report on	Assessment
No.	Faculty	or Lab Course	semester	guidance given –	score
				submit evidence	
1	Dr.J.Pardhasardhi	Analysis and	MBA	Emphasizes the	
		Interpretations	II-I	importance of	
		on Portfolio		diversification, risk	
				management, and	
			,	the use of	9
	,			quantitative	
		,	,	techniques in	
		,		portfolio	
	;	,		optimization to	,
	•			enhance returns	
			La transfer	and minimize risks.	
2	Dr.A.SitaMadhavi	Research	MBA	The importance of	
		Methodology	I-I	a systematic	q
	. *			approach to data	,
		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		collection,	
				analysis, and	32

 ,			interpretation, ensuring rigorous and reliable research outcomes. She also highlights the importance of practical application and continuous learning to adapt to evolving research landscapes	
	Average As	sessment Sco	re (Maximum of 10)	0

6. Course file/Lab manual Prepared (Assessment: a maximum of 5 point per course/lab) - Maximum of 10 points:**NIL**

		1			
Sl.	Name of	Title of the	Changes	Approved by Group head	Assessment
No.	Programme	Course	brought in	/HOD with date	score
1	MBA	SIFD .	Practical	Dr.J.Pardhasaradhi	09
	II-II		Examples		
2	MBA	LSCM	Case study	Dr.J.Pardhasaradhi	09
	I-II				
3	MBA	OM	Practical	Dr.J.Pardhasaradhi	09
	II-I		Examples		
4	MBA	SAPM	Practical	Dr.J.Pardhasaradhi	09
	II-I		Examples		
5	MBA	RMSA	Practical	Dr.J.Pardhasaradhi	09
	I-I		Examples		
		Aver	age Assessme	nt Score (Maximum of 10)	09

7. Students' Feedback - Course End Survey - Maximum of 10 Points
Courses Taught (Assessment: $\geq 60 < 70\% : 4, \geq 70 < 80\% : 6, \geq 80 < 90\% : 8, \geq 90 : 10$)
(Courses taught/conducted during the last 2 semesters should be considered)

	Courses taught/conducted during the last 2 semesters should be considered)							
Semester	Name of the Course	Feedback Score	Percentage	Assessment				
(I/ II)		Obtained	of Feedback	score				
				(0-10 scale)				
MBA	SIFD	4.2	96.27	08				
II-II		4.2	86.37					
MBA	LSCM	4.5	00.27	10				
I-II		4.5	90.37					
MBA	OM	4.2	00.10	08				
II-I		4.2	88.18					
MBA	SAPM	4.1	05.60	08				
II-I		4.1	85.68					
MBA	RMSA	4.10	02 (1	08				
I-I	•	4.18	83.61					
	Average Assessment Score (Maximum of 10)							

8. Students' Feedback - Teaching - Learning Process
Courses Taught (Assessment: ≥60 <70%: 4, ≥70 <80%: 6, ≥80 <90%: 8, ≥90: 10)
(Courses taught/conducted during the last 2 semesters should be considered)

Semester	Name of the Course	Feedback	Percentage	Assessment			
(I/ II)		Score Obtained	of Feedback	score			
				(0-10 scale)			
MBA	SIFD	-	93.62	10			
II-II			,				
MBA	LSCM	-	87.07	08			
I-II							
MBA	OM	-	80.13	08			
II-I							
MBA	SAPM	- <u>-</u> ,	82.88	08			
II-I							
MBA	RMSA		84.44	08			
I-I							
	Average Assessment Score (Maximum of 10)						

PART B -RESEARCH AND CONSULTANCY (Total Score: 50)

1. Ph. D/Post-doc program pursuing (Course work: 1, Pre-PhD: 1, Research Reviews: 1, Submission: 3 points, Award: 5 marks) – For all faculty eligible to pursue PhD.

		·		•				
Name of	University	Name of	Year of	progress of	Assessment			
the	7	supervisor/co-	registration	the work	Score			
program	•	supervisor	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,				
				Course work				
Ph.D	MRUH	Dr.M.Vidhyasagar	2022	& Pre-PhD	02			
				Completed				
		Assessment Score (Maximum of 5)						

2. Ph. D Guidance (Assessment: Thesis submitted=3, Thesis awarded =5): NA

Sl.	Name of the	Supervisor/	University	Year of	Assessment			
No.	Student	Co-supervisor	registered	registration	score			
					,			
				,	,			
	Average Assessment Score (Maximum of 5)							

3. Books/chapters published (Assessment: 1 per chapter, 2 per Indian book and 3 per International book published) - Maximum of 5 points: **NIL**

Sl.	Title of the	Name and	Category of	Month &	ISSN	Assessment
No	book/book	address of	publication	year of	no.	score
	chapters	the publisher	(international/national)	publication		
	*	/				
						7

4. Patents granted/published(Assessment: published: 3, granted: 5 points per patent) - Maximum of 10 points: NIL

	1				
Sl.	Patent Number	Title of the Patent	Name(s) of the	Month &	Assessment
No			Inventor(s)	year	score

	*	, ,
2		

5. Sponsored research projects (externally funded) carried-out/carrying: (Assessment: less than 5 lakhs = 3 points, 5-10 lakhs = 5 points 10-20 lakhs = 8 points, more than 20lakhs = 10points)

- Maximum 10 points: NIL

						the state of the same of the s
Sl. No	Title of the project	Funding agency	Amount	Duration of project	Date of commencement	Assessment score

6. Consultancy carried-out/carrying: (Assessment: ≥2 <5 lakhs :2 points, ≥5 lakhs : 5) – Maximum 5 points: NIL

Sl. No	Title of the project	Funding agency	Amount	Duration of project	Date of commencement	Assessment score
				7		

7. Publications: International Journals (NJ)/ International Conferences (INC)/ National Conferences (NC) (Assessment: 2 points per publication) - Maximum of 10 points

	Assessment	score		02			7			-			0.5		1 .				,						
	Journal /	Conference No.	(if recognized by UGC)	× 1									1	***											
	Impact factor	/ indexed by	SCOPUS/ SCI/WOS	_ Impact	Factor: 7.97					,			Impact	Factor - 5.2,											
	Month	ઝ	Year	Januar	y 2023						,		July –	2023				. "							-
	Page	numbers		a844-	a851								83-88					,							
t 10 points	Volume	number,	ISSN/DOI	Volume	11, Issue 1	ISSN:	2320-2882	https://ww	w.ijcrt.org	/papers/IJ	CRT2301	109.pdf	Vol 9,	No 6,	ISSN:	2454 -	7655	4033.							
	Name of the	Journal/	Conference	International	Journal of	Creative Research	Thoughts						International	Conference	uo	Multidiscipl	inary	Research in	Economics,	Social,	Environmen	tal And	Cultural	Impacts of	Tourism.
(uoi	Title of the paper			An Analytical Study	of Mergers and	Acquisitions with Reference to Union	Bank of India						A Study on Women	Empowerment and	their investment	Behaviour									
Assessment:	Category:	IJ/NJ/	INC/NC	IJ						,			IJ												
-	SI.	No.		_									2												

PART C – PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT (Total Score: 40)

1. Membership in professional bodies (Assessment: 1 point per professional body) - Maximum of 2 points: NIL

Sl.	Name of the	Membership	Category of Membership	Assessment
No	Professional body	number	(life/annual)	score

2. Recognition from any professional body/reputed institutions which utilize your services

(Assessment: 1 pointper recognition) - Maximum of 2 points: NIL

Sl. Role No.		Name of the organization	Duration/ Date	Present your contribution in few sentences	Assessment score
,		\(\frac{1}{\lambda}\)			

(Role: Such as BOS member, subject expert in SCMs, keynote speaker, conference chair/cochair, reviewer of Publications in conference/journal etc.)

3. Collaboration/MoU arranged with other organizations (Assessment: 1 point per collaboration/MoU arranged)- Maximum of 2 points: NIL

Sl. No.	Name of theorganization	Name of the activity	Duration and dates	Assessment score

4. FDPs/Workshops/Seminars/Training programs attended (Assessment: 3 days : 1 points, 1 Week : 2 points, 2 weeks : 3 points, Summer school of 2 weeks : 5 points) - Maximum of 5 points

· - period, - · · · · · · · · period,	, = ***********		s · v points)	Transmitted points		
Name of the	Place	Organizer	Duration	Date/month/	Assessment	
FDP/Workshop/Training				year	score	
program						
National Level Case Study	Hyderab	St.	Five	21 st to	02	
Workshop	ad.	Joseph's	Days	25 th		
		Degree		Nov,		
		& Pg.		2022		
		College				

5. FDPs/Seminars/Workshops/Conferences conducted (Assessment: 2 or 3 days :3 points,1 Week : 5 points, 2 Weeks: 10 points, International Conference: 10 points, National Conference: 5 points) - Maximum of 10 points: NIL

Name of the	Title of the program	Number of	Duration and	Assessment
event		participants	dates	score

6. Invited talks/guest lecturers conducted with speakers from institutes of repute (Assessment:1 point per talk or Guest lecturers arranged) - Maximum of 3points

Pomit	per tark or Guest	rectarers arranged)	1VIu/XIIIIuIII	or sponies		
Sl. No	Resource person with address	Topic	Targeted audience	Duration	Date/month/ year	Assessment score
1	Ms. SwapnaSh etty	BSE- Capital Market Awareness	60	01 day	16 th Nov, 2022	02
	·					

7. Invited talks/guest lecturers given in institutes of repute (Assessment: 1 point per talk) -

Maximum of 2 points

()	Sl. No.	Name ofthe event	Topic	Targeted audience	Venue	Duration	Date/month/ year	Assessment
		Ĵ.						

8. Conferences attended (Assessment: 2 points per International and 1 per National Conference) -

Maximum of 3 points

Maximum of 5 point					
Name of the conference	Venue	Organizer	Duration	Date/month/year	Assessment score
International Seminar on Global Economic Situation with Specific Reference to Market Trends in India	SOM-MRUH	SOM- MRUH	02 days	10 th & 11 th Feb, 2023	02
International Conference on Multidisciplinary Research in Economics, Social, Environmental And Cultural Impacts of Tourism.	Madhya Pradesh Management Association, Social Science & Management Welfare Association.	Madhya Pradesh Management Association, Social Science & Management Welfare Association.	02 days	July, 2023	02

9. Field trips arranged (Assessment: 1 point per trip) - Maximum of 3 points

Sl. No.	Organization visited	Number of students	Year/semester	Assessment score
1	Cocacola	87	MBA I-I MBA II-I	01

10. Internships arranged (Assessment: 1 point per industry) - Maximum of 3 points: NIL

Sl. No.	Organization visited	Number of students	Year/semester	Assessment score
	•			

11. Online course registration (Such as NPTEL, MOOCS) (Assessment: 3 point per course) -

Maximum of 5 points: : NIL

Sl. No	Name of the Course	Offered by	Date of registration	Assessment score
		-	-	•

24 PART D - ADMINISTRTION (Total Score: 45)

1. Administrative/additional roles (Assessment: 3 points per role in the department level, 5 points per role in the college level, 8 points for Deans and 10 points for HoD) - Maximum of 10 points

Sl.	College/Department/	Role	Give a Brief Description on	
No.	Group level	Kole	your contribution	score
1	Department Level	Exam	1. Uploading Marks all	03
		Branch	internal and Lab	
		Coordinator	External.	
			2. Uploading MBA	
	·		Viva Voce panels	
· ·			(JNTUH and	
			Autonomous).	
			3. Question Paper and	
			Answer Scripts	
	-		Submission.	
,			4. Conducting and	
		- > 1 <u> </u>	contacting External	
			examiners to complete	
÷			MBA Students project	
			viva voce exam.	

(Role: Such as Course coordinator, Time-table in-charge, CRC coordinator etc., Convener or Co-convener of FDP/Workshop/ Conference etc, in-charges of various criterion for SAR preparation, Lab Masters of JNTUH, Alumni Coordinator etc.)

2. Association with In-charges (Assessment: Concerned in-charge will assess for a maximum of 5 points)

	Average Assessment Score (Maximum of 5)						
	Affairs and EEE-HoD			Administrative data Collected			
1	K.Somashaker- Dean Student	NAAC	Criteria-4	Student Data Base and	05		
Sl. No.	Name of the in-charge	Category AICTE/ NBA/ NAAC / FFC or Specify Other	Nature of Work given	Give a Brief Description	Assessment		

(Faculty will be assessed by in-charge faculty under whom they have worked for AICTE/NBA/NAAC/FFC related works)

3. Student mentoring (Assessment: 2 point per student for improvement brought in attendance / performance) - Maximum of 10 points: NIL

Perrorr	maniet) manimi	and of to pointed the			
Sl. No.	Roll number	Name of the student	Year of study	Improvements brought	Assessment score
		*			

		,	
,			
	;		

4. Organizing Co-curricular/Extra-curricular student events (Assessment: 1 point per event) - Maximum of 2 points

Sl. No.	Name of the event	Name(s) of the other Faculty involved	Role(s) Played	Duration, with dates	Assessment score
1	YOUNG MANAGER	L.Deepa	Coordinator	April,2023	01
	(Bhaswara 2k23)	,			
2	VIBES2023	-	Discipline	05 th	01_
		ε	Committee	Aparil,2023	

5. Guidance given to the students in encouraging them to participate in co-curricular activities (Assessment: I point per event) - Maximum of 3 points All

,	1 1	7 (7) 7 / 7 / 7 / 7 / 7 / 7 / 7 / 7 / 7 /	1			
Sl.		Name(s) of	Role(s) Played			4
No.	Name of the	the	by faculty in	Duration,	Student	Assessment
NO.	event	students	the guidance	with dates	achievement	Score
	, · · · ·	involved	given			
		. '				
			oral Control			Pr # 50 752
	1					

- 6. Any steps taken for resource/revenue generation. Give details(NIL)

 (Whether applied for any Consultancy Works etc.)(Maximum 5 points)

 Negotiation for System Purchase/Network Issues related
- 7. Additional contribution which are not covered above, if any (2 points)
 - Participated in B.Tech admissions.

02

- 8. List your suggestions for improving the academic standards/procedures of the department. (2 points)
 - Implement innovative approaches for enhancing quality standards.
 - Organize extracurricular activities (ECA) sessions for students.
- 9. List any suggestions for improving the performance of the students (2 points)
 - Promote students' review of notes and assignments, and encourage them to deliver seminars.
 - Mandatory participation in all co-curricular activities is instituted to prepare students for campus placements.
 - The Training and Placement cell ensures top placement opportunities for both PG and UG students.
 - Enhancing classroom discipline is a priority for both undergraduate and postgraduate programs.

- The regular timetable includes activities such as Group Discussions (GD), Just a Minute (JAM), General/Subject Seminars, and Personality Development classes.
- 10. List any suggestions related to administrative standards in the department and college. (2 points)
 - Ensure full student attendance before commencing classes.
 - Monthly interactions between the HoD and faculty members to address classroom challenges related to student behavior.
 - Establish rules for student entry and exit from the classroom as deemed necessary.
- 11. How do you think GCET can help you to enrich your knowledge/multidisciplinary skills? (2 points)

GCET can offer a diverse range of courses and resources, enabling me to explore various disciplines and expand my knowledge base. Additionally, the opportunity to engage with experts and peers from different fields fosters interdisciplinary collaboration, enhancing my skills and perspective.

12. List areas of

- i. Strengths:
 - Diligent work ethic
 - Strong analytical capabilities
 - Self-driven and motivated
 - Collaborative team player
- ii. Weaknesses
 - Sensitivity to certain situations
 - Tendency to take on excessive responsibilities
- iii. New skills/techniques learnt or acquired
 - Proficiency in preparing CO & PO Attainment reports
 - Enhanced project quality through statistical techniques
 - Improved efficiency in creating comprehensive course files
 - Skillful development of question papers using Bloom's Taxonomy of Learning
- iv. Need of additional development/training by the department/college in improvement of your quality of work

• Yes, to further enhance the quality of work.

TOTAL SCORE:

1288 (128)

Date:

Name and Signature of the Faculty

(Note: Necessary Proofs should be attached)
GROUP HEAD'S ASSESSMENT- Maximum of 15 points

0-4 : Unsatisfactory performance

4.1-6 : Does not meet the expected level of performance

: 900C

6.1-9 : Meets the expected level of performance

9.1-12 : Exceeds the expected level of performance >

12.1-15 : Meritorious performance

1. General attitude

2. Teaching

3. Research 9001 90 4. Service : 5. Timely completion of given tasks	s: 900 Name and Signature of Group
IOD'S ASSESSMENT - Maximum of 2	25 points
0-8 : Below average 8.1-12 : Average 12.1-16 : Above average 16.1-20 : Good 20.1-25 : Excellent.	TOOM EVERY TO FLEE HARD
1. Initiative and drive exhibited	: if the spin mark that in continuous and a continuous
2. Availing of leave/permissions	: The harmon was a second
3. Interpersonal skills	· Justilliand They are
4. Domain knowledge	المراجع المراجع المسالات والمسالات والمسالات
5. Balanced attitude	: Interest of the
6. Quality of Work	:
7. Feedback from students based on	CRC (any action taken earlier):
8. Class control	: ABPADANT GER AN GERTUITO REJANDO

Additional Points for Professors Occupying Key administrative Roles: Maximum of 50 Points (For HoDs, Deans, CoEs: 50, Group Heads: 30, AICTE/ NBA/ FFC/IQAC/FS/Incubation Coordinators: 20)

TOTAL: \ LO .

Head

Overall Assessment/Rating (on a scale of 275 points):

9. Timely completion of given tasks

10. Attire and Appearance

11. Punctuality

Faculty's Self assessment (x) out of 235	Group head's assessment (y) out of 15	HOD's assessment (z) out of 25	Total assessment (x+y+z) out of 275	Grade/Ratin g (1-275 scale)
1218	12	20	160	Grellent

Performance Rating	Assistant Professor	Associate Professor	Professo r
Below Average	< 110	< 130	< 150
Average- Above Average	110 to 120	130 to 140	150 to 170
Good	121 to 130	141 to 150	171 to 200
Excellent	>130	>150	>200

Suggestions for improvement: 1. Need to regular ous member to Possional Bosies I gustifula. 2. Effect to make mous wife other expectation. 3. Regular to represent and a course.
Name and Signature of HoD
Principal's Observations and Remarks:
Peale be informed that
interest a Dh.D. Propositional
AUTO TOOL TO THE TOP OF THE
development with not take there. Signature of the Principal
Inchement is recommended.
and the first of t

Secretary's Observations and Remarks:

6. Describe 0d1

Signature of the Secretary

Geethanjali College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Management Studies Faculty Performance Appraisal for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name of the Faculty Member: MrsK. Lavanya

Designation: Sr. Assistant Professor

			Leave Availed			Permissions	Number of days -
CLs	HPLs	ELs	CCLs -	CCLs	Number	(Early	extended hours worked
	,		accumulated	- used	of days	Going/Late	with the approval of the
				100	on 'Loss	Coming)	concerned HoD/Group
1		,			of Pay'	availed	Head/CoE
			<				(Also mention total
							number of extended
							hours worked)
15	6	-	3	2	NIL	12	Around 60 days(90
				,			hours)

PART A - TEACHING (Total Score: 100)

1. Theory/Lab Courses Taught(Assessment: ≥50<60%:12, ≥60< 70%:18, ≥70<80%:24, ≥80 <90%:30, ≥90: 40)

(Theory Courses taught during the last 2 semesters should be considered)

Semester	Name of the	Number	Course	Pass	Course	Assessment
(I/ II)	Course	of	success index	percentage	Attainment	score (1-40
		Periods	(successful		Level	scale)
**		Taken	students)			
MBA	FRA	68	56/61	91.80	2.18	40
I-I						
MBA	IB	67	41/42	95.35	2.28	40
II-I					****	
MBA	FM	73	59/61	96.72	2.40	40
II-I						
MBA	FD	71	28/28	100	2.73	40
II-II						
Average Assessment Score (Maximum of 40)					40	

- 2. On an average how many assignments did you give per course (Assessment: 2 to 3: 2, More than 3:5):4(5Marks)
 - (Average Assessment Score should be obtained by taking the average of the individual assessment scores obtained in each subject of a semester subject to a maximum of 5)
- 3. On an average how many 'tutorials/case studies' did you conduct per course (2 to 3tutorials : 2, More than 3 : 5) : 2 (3 Marks)
 - (Average Assessment Score should be obtained by taking the average of the individual assessment scores obtained in each subject of a semester subject to a maximum of 5)

4. Project guidance/supervision

MBA (Assessment:5 points per project and 5 additional points for best project awarded in any competition/in the form of publication)

(Average Assessment Score should be obtained by taking the average of the individual assessment scores obtained in each Project guided subject to a maximum of 10)

<u>Note</u>: May not be applicable for the faculty taking <u>only</u> first year courses. In such cases section 1 shall be evaluated for 50 points.

Sl.	Roll	Names of	Mini/major	Title of the	In-house	Assessment
No.	numbers	the students	project	project	or external	Score
	21R11E 0019	KALAPAT APU	Major Project	A Study on impact of Capital	External	5
	0017	UDAY	Troject	Structure		
1		BHASKAR		Components on	. /	
				Selected IT		
	,			companies in		
				India		
	21R11E	NAGULAP	Major	An analysis of	External	5
	0030	ALLI	Project	effect of Non-		
	*	NAGESH		Performing Assets		
2				on profitability of		
			,	selected public		
		, /	- 1	sector banks in		
				India		
	21R11E	SHEMRED	Major	A Study on	External	5
	0042	DY	Project	Portfolio		
	;	RAJASHE		Evaluation of		V 100
		KAR		Risk and Return		
3		REDDY		of selected		
				Securities with		
				reference to		
				Kotak Securities		
			3.6.1	Ltd-Hyderabad	D . 1	-
	21R11E	DINGI	Major	Financial	External	5
	0012	SREENIVA	Project	performance of	** * *	
		S		Small Finance	٠	
4	Α,	-		Bank-a study with reference to	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
				JANA small		
				finance bank		
				(JSFB)		
			Verage Asses	ssment Score (Maxin	mum of 10)	5
		· F	Average Asses	Smellt Score (Maxii	mum or 10)	

5. a. Association with Senior Faculty (Assessment: Concerned Professor will assess for a maximum of 10 points) –

1110211	mum or To poin	(6)			
Sl.	Name of the	Name of Theory	Class with	Give Brief report on	Assessment
No.	Professor	or Lab Course	semester	attainment of	score
			* 1,- 1-	learning outcome -	
		·		submit evidence	
1	Dr. J.	FRA	MBA	0 0	9
	PardhaSaradhi		I-I	Sourced on atrod	0
2	Dr. J.	FM	MBA	0-10-41	9
	PardhaSaradhi		II-I	Case Pourlew	\
3	Dr. J.	FD	MBA II-II	do	Q

Tarunasaraum	2.1		
PardhaSaradhi			

b. Professors (Assessment: Guidance given by the Concerned Professor to other faculty, for a maximum of 10 points) –

1110	ixilliulli of 10 po	11113)			
Sl.	Name of the	Name of Theory	Class with	Give Brief report on	Assessment
No.	Faculty	or Lab Course	semester	guidance given –	score
	,	72	en e	submit evidence	
1	Dr. J.	FRA	MBA	Guidance on	
	PardhaSaradhi		I-I	course file	
	~			preparation and	9
				Activity evaluation	1 -
2	Dr. J.	FM	MBA	Guidance on	
	PardhaSaradhi		II-I	course file	9
	er e			preparation and	
		€'		Activity evaluation	SIL CO
3	Dr. J.	FD	MBA II-II	Guidance on	
*	PardhaSaradhi			course file	*
				preparation and	
-				Question Paper	
		17.		preparation for	
1.44				MID exams	
		Average As	sessment Scor	re (Maximum of 10)	8.6

6. Course file/Lab manual Prepared (Assessment: a maximum of 5 point per course/lab) - Maximum of 10 points

01	ol '4		CI	11 0 1 1	
Sl.	Class with	Title of the	Changes	Approved by Group head	Assessment
No.	semester	Course file	brought in	/HOD with date	score
1	MBA	FRA	New file	Approved	5
	I-I		prepared to		
~ _ ~~			suit AR22		
	Maria Para I		Syllabus		
2	MBA	IB	Updated	Approved	5
	II-I	· / .	with notes,		
			Previous		
			question		
			papers and		
- 1.00	-		assignments.		
3	MBA	FM	New file	Approved	5
	II-I ,		prepared to		p = 1
,	•	x* *	suit AR22		7 J
			Syllabus		
4	MBA	FD	Updated	Approved	5
	II-II		with notes,	* *	
			Previous		
			question		
			papers and		,
			assignments.		
					5

7. Students' Feedback - Course End Survey - Maximum of 10 Points Courses Taught (Assessment: ≥60 <70%: 4, ≥70<80%: 6, ≥80 <90%: 8, ≥90: 10) (Courses taught/conducted during the last 2 semesters should be considered)

Semester	Name of the Course	Feedback Score	Percentage	Assessment			
(I/ II)	·	Obtained	of Feedback	score			
		* 1		(0-10 scale)			
MBA	FRA	4.66	93.36	10			
I-I							
MBA	IB	4.12	85.05	8			
II-I	, .						
MBA	FM	4.54	91.00	10			
II-I							
MBA	FD	4.06	83.83	8			
II-II	• , ,		* . *	-			
	Average Assessment Score (Maximum of 10)						

8. Students' Feedback - Teaching - Learning Process

Courses Taught (Assessment: $\geq 60 < 70\%$: 4, $\geq 70 < 80\%$: 6, $\geq 80 < 90\%$: 8, ≥ 90 : 10)

(Courses taught/conducted during the last 2 semesters should be considered)

Semester	Name of the Course	Feedback	Percentage	Assessment		
(I/ II)		Score Obtained	of Feedback	score		
				(0-10 scale)		
MBA	FRA	¥ .	93.96	10		
I-I						
MBA	IB .		80.75	8		
II-I						
MBA	FM		89.59	8		
II-I		r Gro	-			
MBA	FD	gradit reja	96.69	10		
II-II	·	Nisko Julia				
	Average Assessment Score (Maximum of 10)					

PART B - RESEARCH AND CONSULTANCY (Total Score: 50)

1. Ph. D/Post-doc program pursuing (Course work: 1, Pre-PhD: 1, Research Reviews: 1, Submission: 3 points, Award: 5 marks) – For all faculty eligible to pursue PhD.

Name of	University	Name of	Year of	progress of	Assessment
the		supervisor/co-	registration	the work	Score
program		supervisor		7	
Ph D	MRUH	Dr. Sadasivam	2022-23	Course work	02
		Rani		and Pre Ph D	
		/		completed	
	02				

2. Ph. D Guidance (Assessment: Thesis submitted=3, Thesis awarded =5) -NA

_	. The Designation (Tibbessiment, Thesis swellinger e) Thesis with the Contract of the Contract												
	Sl.	Name of the	Supervisor/	University	Year of	Assessment							
	No.	Student	Co-supervisor	registered	registration	score							
		× ,											
			Average Assessm										

3. Books/chapters published (Assessment: 1 per chapter, 2 per Indian book and 3 per International book published) - Maximum of 5 points-NIL

Sl.	Title of the	Name and	Category of	Month &	ISSN	Assessment
No	book/book	address of	publication	year of	no.	score
	chapters	the publisher	(international/national)	publication		
	*		•	.* .		
						*

4. Patents granted/published(Assessment: published: 3, granted: 5 points per patent) - Maximum of 10 points-NIL

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Sl.	Patent Number	Title of the Patent	Name(s) of the	Month &	Assessment
No			Inventor(s)	year	score
		ş2			
1			T .	1	1

5. Sponsored research projects (externally funded) carried-out/carrying: (Assessment: less than 5 lakhs = 3 points, 5-10 lakhs = 5 points 10-20 lakhs = 8 points, more than 20lakhs = 10points) – Maximum 10 points-NIL

		011110 1 1122				
Sl. No	Title of the project	Funding agency	Amount	Duration of project	Date of commencement	Assessment

6. Consultancy carried-out/carrying: (Assessment: ≥2 <5 lakhs :2 points, ≥5 lakhs : 5) – Maximum 5 points-NIL

Sl. No	Title of the project	Funding agency	Amount	Duration of project	Date of commencement	Assessment score
	,					
			·			

7. Publications:

International Journals (IJ)/ National Journals (NJ)/ International Conferences (INC)/ National Conferences (NC) (Assessment: 2 points per publication) - Maximum of 10 points

St. Category: Title of the paper Name of the Volume Page Month Impact factor Journal Assessment																				
Category: Title of the paper Journal/ INC/NC LIV/NJ/ INC/NC LIV/NJ/ INC/NC LIV/NJ/ Conference ISSN/DOI Numbers & / indexed by SCI/WOS SCI/WOS Al Journal of Fash Internation al Journal of Emerging es and Analysis of Risk And Innovative Research Bonds of Top 10 AAA (www.jeitr. Vol.10, Credit Rating Companies in India Available: Companies in India Page	Assessment	score		2																
Category: Title of the paper Journal/ IJ/ NJ/ Conference ISSN/DOI Page Month IJ/ NJ/ Conference ISSN/DOI Internation al Journal of Emerging Emerging es and Analysis of Risk And Innovative ISSN:234 Return on Corporate Research Bonds of Top 10 AAA (www.jetir. Vol.10, Credit Rating Companies in India Available: Available: Available: 2307532.p df	Journal /	Conference No.	(if recognized	JETIR2307532																
Category: Title of the paper IJ/ NJ/ INC/NC INC/NC INC/NC INC/NC INC/NC INC/NC Internation	Impact factor	/ indexed by	SCOPUS/	7.95					v											
Category: Title of the paper IJ/ NJ/ INC/NC Internation al Journal of Emerging Technologi es and Analysis of Risk And Return on Corporate Bonds of Top 10 AAA Companies in India Available: http://www jetir. org/pa pers/JETIR 2307532.p df	Month	**	Year		July-	2023.			2											
Category: Title of the paper Name of the JJ/NJ/ INC/NC Conference Internation al Journal of Emerging Technologi es and Analysis of Risk And Innovative Return on Corporate Research Bonds of Top 10 AAA (www.jetir. Credit Rating Companies in India Available: http://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR 2307532.p df	Page	numbers			page	no.f254-	f 258				4		+					-		
Sl. Category: Title of the paper Name of the Journal/ INC/NC Conference INC/NC Conference Internation al Journal of Emerging Technologi es and Analysis of Risk And Innovative Research Bonds of Top 10 AAA (www.jetir. Credit Rating Companies in India Available: http://www.jetir. Credit Rating Available: http://www.jetir. Credit Rating Available: http://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR 2307532.p df	Volume	number,	ISSN/DOI							1SSN-234	9-5162	Vol 10	Issue 7.							
SI. Category: No. IJ/NJ/ INC/NC Analysis of Risk And Return on Corporate Bonds of Top 10 AAA Credit Rating Companies in India	Name of the	Journal/	Conference	Internation	al Journal	Jo	Emerging	Technologi	es and	Innovative	Research	(www.jetir.	org),	Available:	http://www	.jetir.org/pa	pers/JETIR	2307532.p	df	
SI. Category: No. IJ/NJ/ INC/NC	Title of the paper	•								Analysis of Risk And	Return on Corporate	Bonds of Top 10 AAA	Credit Rating	Companies in India						
No.	Category:	IJ/NJ/	INC/NC																	
	SI.																			

PART C - PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT (Total Score: 40)

1. Membership in professional bodies (Assessment: 1 point per professional body) - Maximum of 2 points-NIL

- POIII	65 T (12)				
Sl.	Name of the	Membership	Category of Membership	Assessment	
No	Professional body	number	(life/annual)	score	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		4,			

2. Recognition from any professional body/reputed institutions which utilize your services (Assessment: 1 pointper recognition) - Maximum of 2 points-NIL

Sl. No.	1	Name of the organization			Assessment score

(Role: Such as BOS member, subject expert in SCMs, keynote speaker, conference chair/co-chair, reviewer of Publications in conference/journal etc.)

3. Collaboration/MoU arranged with other organizations (Assessment: 1 point per collaboration/MoU arranged)- Maximum of 2 points-NIL

Sl. No.	Name of theorganization	Name of the activity	Duration and dates	Assessment score
	•			

4. FDPs/Workshops/Seminars/Training programs attended (Assessment: 3 days: 1 points, 1 Week: 2 points, 2 weeks: 3 points, Summer school of 2 weeks: 5 points) - Maximum of 5 points

Name of the	Place	Organizer	Duration	Date/month/	Assessment
FDP/Workshop/Training				year	score
program					
Five Days National Level Case Study Workshop	St. Joseph's Degree & Pg. College, Hyderab ad.	St. Joseph's Degree & Pg. College, Hyderabad.	5 days	21/11/2022 to 25/11/2022	2

5. FDPs/Seminars/Workshops/Conferences conducted (Assessment: 2 or 3 days :3 points,1 Week : 5 points, 2 Weeks : 10 points, International Conference: 10 points, National Conference: 5 points) - Maximum of 10 points-NIL

1	Name of the	Title of the program	Number of	Duration and	Assessment
	event		participants	dates	score

	, , ,	

6. Invited talks/guest lecturers conducted with speakers from institutes of repute (Assessment:1

point per talk or Guest lecturers arranged) - Maximum of 3points-NIL

Sl. No	Resource person with address	Topic	Targeted audience	Duration	Date/month/ year	Assessment score
	;	*				47
. *		t y				

7. Invited talks/guest lecturers given in institutes of repute (Assessment: 1 point per talk) - Maximum of 2 points-NIL

Sl. No.	Name ofthe event	Topic	Targeted audience	Venue	Duration	Date/month/ year	Assessment
				~			
			-			4	

8. Conferences attended (Assessment: 2 points per International and 1 per National Conference) - Maximum of 3 points

Name of the conference	Venue	Organizer	Duration	Date/month/year	Assessment score
International	School of	School of	2	Feb 10 th	2
Seminar on	Management	Management	days	and 11 th	
Global	and	and		Feb 2023	
Economic	Commerce,	Commerce,			,
Situation	Malla Reddy	Malla Reddy	, ,		
with	University,	University,			
Specific	Hyderabad.	Hyderabad.			
Reference to					
Market					
Trends in					
India	* .				

9. Field trips arranged (Assessment: 1 point per trip) - Maximum of 3 points-NIL

Sl. No.	Organization visited	Number of students	Year/semester	Assessment score
X 1			en e	

10. Internships arranged (Assessment: 1 point per industry) - Maximum of 3 points-NIL

		• /		
Sl.	Ouganization visited	Number of	Vaarlaamaatan	Assessment
No.	Organization visited	students	Year/semester	score

• .	7 - 1 ²		
		-	

11. Online course registration (Such as NPTEL, MOOCS) (Assessment: 3 point per course) - Maximum of 5 points-NIL

Sl. No	Name of the Course	Offered by	Date of registration	Assessment score

24 PART D - ADMINISTRTION (Total Score: 45)

1. Administrative/additional roles (Assessment: 3 points per role in the department level, 5 points per role in the college level, 8 points for Deans and 10 points for HoD) - Maximum of 10 points

S1.	College/Department/	Role	Give a Brief Description on	Assessment
No.	Group level	Kole	your contribution	score
1	Department level	CO-PO	CO-PO PO attainment 3	
		attainment calculated		
		coordinator		
2	Department level	Alumni	Coordinated Alumni	3
	•	Coordinator	meet	

(Role: Such as Course coordinator, Time-table in-charge, CRC coordinator etc., Convener or Co-convener of FDP/Workshop/ Conference etc, in-charges of various criterion for SAR preparation, Lab Masters of JNTUH, Alumni Coordinator etc.)

2. Association with In-charges (Assessment: Concerned in-charge will assess for a maximum of 5 points)

Sl. No.	Name of the in-charge	Category AICTE/ NBA/ NAAC / FFC or Specify Other	Nature of Work given	Give a Brief Description	Assessment	
1	Dr.	NAAC	criteria	Collected all	5	
	Prasanna	7,000	6.4.2	info about	± ,	
	Kumar	7 7 7		funds and		
				grants		
				received from		
			·	Non-	, , , , ,	
			,	government		
				organizations.		
				*	,	
		·				
	Average Assessment Score (Maximum of 5)					

(Faculty will be assessed by in-charge faculty under whom they have worked for AICTE/NBA/NAAC/FFC related works)

3. Student mentoring (Assessment: 2 point per student for improvement brought in attendance /

performance) - Maximum of 10 points-NIL

Sl. No.	Roll number	Name of the student	Year of study	Improvements brought	Assessment score
	-	1 7			

4. Organizing Co-curricular/Extra-curricular student events (Assessment: 1 point per event) - Maximum of 2 points

Sl. No.	Name of the event	Name(s) of the other Faculty involved	Role(s) Played	Duration, with dates	Assessment
1	BHASWARA2023	Mrs. Shainaz	Overal	2-	2
		Begum	Coordinator	Days (3 rd and 4 th April)	
2	Vibes 2023	Mrs.D.Radhika (IT)	Department prize distribution	5 th April 2023	1

5. Guidance given to the students in encouraging them to participate in co-curricular activities

(Assessment: 1 point per event) - Maximum of 3 points

Sl. No.	Name of the event	Name(s) of the students involved	Role(s) Played by faculty in the guidance given	Duration, with dates	Student achievement	Assessment Score
1	Mock	Thukaram	Guided	1	Winner	3
	trading		how to	day		
			play	27		

6. Any steps taken for resource/revenue generation. Give details (Whether applied for any Consultancy Works etc.)(Maximum 5 points)

Negotiation for System Purchase/Network Issues related-NIL

- 7. Additional contribution which are not covered above, if any (2 points)-NIL
- 8. List your suggestions for improving the academic standards/procedures of the department. (2 points)
 - Offer regular professional development opportunities for faculty members to enhance their teaching methodologies, incorporate innovative instructional strategies, and stay abreast of advancements in their fields, ultimately enriching the overall quality of education within the department.

- 9. List any suggestions for improving the performance of the students (2 points)
 - Encourage active participation in extracurricular activities and academic clubs to foster holistic development and enhance students' interpersonal and leadership skills.
- 10. List any suggestions related to administrative standards in the department and college. (2 points)
 - Establish clear communication channels and protocols within the department and college to ensure effective dissemination of information as doing already.

How do you think GCET can help you to enrich your knowledge/multidisciplinary skills? (2 points)

• The college allocates research grants and provides access to academic resources, including journals and databases, to support faculty members in conducting innovative research, publishing scholarly work, and securing external funding to grow individually.

11. List areas of

- i. Strengths: Ability to work in teams and able to understand and complete the work.
- ii. Weaknesses:Technical Proficiency: Limited proficiency in certain technical skills or software applications relevant to the job role, such as programming languages or specialized software tools, which may require further training or practice to improve.
- iii. New skills/techniques learnt or acquired: Business Analytics and Financial Analytics, SPSS
- iv. Need of additional development/training by the department/college in improvement of your quality of work: Advanced SPSS/R programing

TOTAL SCORE: 124.

Date:

Name and Signature of the Faculty

(Note: Necessary Proofs should be attached)
GROUP HEAD'S ASSESSMENT- Maximum of 15 points

0-4 : Unsatisfactory performance

4.1-6 : Does not meet the expected level of performance

6.1-9 : Meets the expected level of performance

9.1-12 : Exceeds the expected level of performance

12.1-15 : Meritorious performance

1. General attitude

2. Teaching

3. Research

4. Service

5. Timely completion of given tasks:

TOTAL: \$36.

HOD'S ASSESSMENT- Maximum of 25 points

0-8 : Below average

8.1-12 : Average

12.1-16 :Above average

16.1-20 : Good 20.1-25 :Excellent.

1. Initiative and drive exhibited

2. Availing of leave/permissions

3. Interpersonal skills :

4. Domain knowledge

5. Balanced attitude :

6. Quality of Work

7. Feedback from students based on CRC (any action taken earlier):

8. Class control :

9. Timely completion of given tasks :

10. Attire and Appearance :

11. Punctuality

900d (20).

Additional Points for Professors Occupying Key administrative Roles: Maximum of 50 Points (For HoDs, Deans, CoEs: 50, Group Heads: 30, AICTE/NBA/FFC/IQAC/FS/Incubation Coordinators: 20)

TOTAL:

156

Overall Assessment/Rating (on a scale of 275 points):

Faculty's Self assessment(x) out of 235	Group head's assessment(y) out of 15	HOD's assessment(z) out of 25	Total assessment(x+y+z)out of 275	Grade/Rating (1-275 scale)
124	12	20	156	Encelleut

Performance Rating	Assistant Professor	Associate Professor	Professor
Below Average	<110	<130	<150
Average- Above Average	110 to 120	130 to 140	150 to 170
Good	121 to 130	141 to 150	171 to 200
Excellent	>130	>150	>200

Suggestions for improvement:

1. Inthitation mould be taken It bublish chapeter in books.

2. Required to concentrate on Objecting Container. Journ

3. Jundes a presence.

Name and Signature of HoD

(Dr. J. Jaradhi)

Principal's Observations and Remarks:

Suggested to purple PhD

'y Professional growth is well.

Abribed the same earlier.

Signature of the Principal

Increment is recommended.

Secretary's Observations and Remarks:

Signature of the Secretary